27. 7. 96.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1893-94.

192 .

(L. R.)

File No. 82 of 93.

Serial Nos. 1-17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-17

~~Part~~ B for July 1897.

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Sheshamma & Others in the Sorabja Talukh, for the restoration to them of her fathers lands at Sira.

Countermanded

WD 2230—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Countermanded

Indexed

Precis of the records connected with the grant of Shesharama of inam land for the restoration to her of her father-in-law's Gram lands in Sira Taluk Tumkur district.

As a preliminary to this case the genealogy of the petr's family will be traced here.

Venkatachar

Son (name illegible)

Anantachar

I Srinivasachar II Krishnachar

III Ranganath

Lingamma Char (name) wife of petr

Shesharama

Note on I

Srinivasa Char appears to have had held the Gram. His son Ramachandra Char seems to have given it up on account of his weak sight.

Srinivasa Char had mortgaged the Gram in 1852 to the Rama Desaiya for 3 years. During the Gram settlement of 1870 the Gram was assessed K

given rise for full expression
to the said mortgagee Kantu
Krishnappa at his own risk.
The latter getting wind the Sum
in 1931 & it was sold
by the present tenant Thimmappa.

Note on II

Krishnachar & his son were minor
to the petn.

(The petn. is in the process of 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 Chamber file)

1 Facts of the case:-

This question arose on
the several petitions submitted
by the petn. to the Collector, the Registrar
& the Govt. (including those
addressed to H.H. the Maharani
Regent) praying that the
Chattanaya land of Sitla
under the Doddakere tank
of Sira, once held by her
uncle-in-law Lingappa Gowda
which was resumed by the
Govt. during the Sum settlement
owing to the Claimant's absence
may be restored to her as
she has no other means

3

of lineliihood. The petr produced
lots of old records before the Agy
Commr on 1.1.95 to establish
her right to the Inam. These are
the several orders to the Collector to
see that the different Cases of
the Inamdar take the produce.
The petr also produced a mortgage
deed of 10.2.02 along with her
petn to the Agy Commr 9.4.95.
This mortgage deed was executed
by the said Ganesrao Char to
one Ramachandra mortgaging
the Inam land for Rs 60 for a
period of 10 years. In 1870 the
Inam Settlement seems to have
taken place when the Inamdar
not having been present the
Inam was ordered to be attach-
-ed. Subsequently another order
was passed for resuming the
Inam & for granting it on full
agreement. Then one Appaji
Krishnarao applied for Inam
the land granted to him on full
agreement till he relinquished in
1885. This Appaji Krishnarao of
Line is represented in the Nos 1/2
Pt. Survey No. 3 as being the

No. 24 V.P. 2.7.94 Dy Commr. Returned being unstamped.

Petn. No. 552 No. 687 Open file. 13.8.94 Govt. As per order of the Hon: P. that for it was filed.

Petn. No. 1576 25.11.94 Govt. File.

Petn. No. 791 No. 80.7. 1.7.95 Private Secy to H.H. the Maharani Regent. Dy Com. was asked to enquire & discover the case

No. 2 V.P. 14.10.95 Dy Commr.

Petn. No. 2769 No. 120.7 22.1.96 Private Secy to H.H. the Maharani Regent. Rem. Report.

Petn. No. 4028 No. 140.7. 6.6.96 — do — Reminder

N.B. There is an endorst. of this Office in the file returning the petn. of the

No. 18 V.P. petn. of 23.6.92 as unstamped -

The petns. of (A) 29.3.94 (B) 13.8.94 (D) & (C) 1.7.95 to the Govt. & of 14.10.95 (E) 2.7.94 to the Dy Commr. will be specially noticed here.

No. 15 O.7. As regards petn. A.

This was forwarded to the Dy Commr. on 8.6.94 for some investigation & report. The Dy Commr. sent it to the Asst. on 19.6.94

No. 30 V.P. who sent his report on 24.6.94. This is embodied in the Dy Commr's report

No. 4 O.7 to Govt. of 5.7.94. The petr. was given an endorst. in full in the terms of the report, refusing to grant her prayer.

No. 6 & 7 O.7 As regards petn. B

This contains 2 enclosures

4

the petr do not tally with the names contained in the above statements.

On 3.4.96 the Amr sent his 2nd report with the statement of Agali Krishnappa in obedience to the order of the Dy Commr 8/15.11.95 wherein is put all the queries necessary for the full understanding of the case.

Agali Krishnappa deposed before the Amr on 26.3.96 as follows:-

"I saw the stamped paper of the year Durmuti Magha Bahula 2, where Melkote Ratnajiri Anantachar's son Srinivasachar has mortgaged the wet land of 1 Kha under the Doddakere of Karaba Sira for 50 Rs to Sira Lagamada Ramadasappa. The said Ramadasappa is my own brother. We are undivided. He died nearly 8 years back. The land above said means Sur No. 238, wet, extent 4-9 & assessed at 6-4-n. It was in accordance with the mortgage deed in our possession. I came to know that a notice for the appearance of the Puttadar has been issued during the ~~final~~ final Inam settlement; & that on account of his absence the Inam was attached & subsequently resumed & finally assessed. As per my Dharkast

the mortgagee of the said train from one Ratnagiri Venkatramaiah. He is again represented in the Govt's 2nd report of 7.6 — without any explanation of the conflict between the 2 reports — as being the undivided brother of the above said mortgagee Ratnagiri Venkatappa, who took the mortgage in 1842 from Ramagiri Venkatachar. However the conflict will disappear from the statement of Vishwanatha Thimmappa before the Inq. that the information which he gave in 7.6 was not correct. Since the relinquishment of the land in 1883, the land has been in the enjoyment of one Thimmanna.

2. The file contains the following letters of the petn. addressed to the authorities shown against each. These will be taken chronologically & the 3rd col. will show how each has been disposed of.

4.6.92	Govt.	Copy of the record connected with the case is to be found here as already noted in No. 58 of 28.4.92 (this is not in the file).	Phani's No. 25 to Pen.
4.7.92	Govt.	Returned unstamped.	Phani's No. 26 V.P.
N.B. The above mortgage deed was produced along with the petn. — vide No. 27 V.P.			
1.12.98	Govt.	Returned as 2 papers are missing. (cont. Phani's No. 17 V.P.)	R. No. 1458 Phani's No. 19 V.P.
22.3.94	Govt.	Report of the Govt. called for & now sent	R. No. 3506 No. 16 Pen.

No. 3:— As per the Sanad granting 3 fields of Village each, 3 new Sanads have been granted to Mattada Krishnachar & you Gentlemen should see that he enjoys it undisturbedly. This is dated Kaumatha Rajamma Bahula 6.

No. 4:— Other letter is dated a day previous to the above:— Mattada Venkata-Krishnachar complains that he is prevented from enjoying the Village of the land under the Sirastar granted to him as per Sanad which he produces. You Gentlemen are hereby directed to see that Krishnachar enjoys the same without any obstruction.

No. 5:— Give the produce of the gian Kathkam of the Village land held by Mattagiri Krishnachar to the Sheandar Rathnagiri Anantachar

No. 6:— Acknowledgement for the receipt of the produce of the land from the gian Jaya to Sarvari by Sreenivasa Char.

No. 7:— Dated in the Nandana 25. 6. 44 to give over the Sheandar Sreenivasachar produce to the latter lease Tantum Nagappa.

No. 8 — Dated 7. 6. 58 — lease is Lira Samanna

No. 9 — Dated 7. 8. 56 — Lease is Ananta Char

No. 10 — Dated 7. 8. 60 lease Sreeniwasachar

No. 1 – Of Particular Jointure Schedule 5.

8

Letter to give the province to
Lavinder Manchester.
Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5 are endorsed from
Govt. given to Petr.
Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9 are from Petr.
endorsed to Petr.: No. 10 of 27.5.92
says the order given to you previously (this order is not forthcoming)
is correct. You cannot therefore
have any other order.
No. 11 is of 19.1.94 & is the same as above
No. 13 of 19.2.94 is also the same
but the last line says "we have not
the liberty to accept a request of
the Petner to you which had been
originally attached."

As regards Petr's

No. 26 V.P.

Part of the petn. has been referred
to above in tracing the genealogy.
The remaining part states that
Petr. objected to the attachment
of the Mean without any notice
to her; that a notice was thereafter
issued to her from the Tahsildar;
that she could not appear as per
notice as she was pregnant; & that
a second notice was issued to her.

Note: In her petn. of 29.3.94 (No. 9) the lr. states that the Plaintiff was about at Ramanuera.
Note: These notices are not in the file.

The petn. of 4.7.92 refers notice on
account of its encumbrance the mortgage deed. This deed is dated Permanti
Seagler Pachuk 2, corresponding

No. 27 V.P.

9

10th February 1932. This states that the mortgagee Satyagiri Rayaseth Ramachandra is to enjoy the mortgage for years from Sundhathi Chaitra & that the mortgagor Satyagiri Ramiseth shall redeem it after the years by paying the amount borrowed viz. 500 Rs.

3. It may remain to note the evidence of the witnesses Ananthaiah & Venkatanarasimha & 3.4.96 as the contract which are embodied in the Judge's reports to the issues 3, 5, 7, 9 & 23, 25, 26.

Re 20 V.P.

Re 3 & 9.

The issue in this report of Judge has observed that he had the records searched in 1893 on the orders of the Dewan Sahib for the papers connected with the loan having mentioned by the petitioner but could not find any. That the Dewan Sahib asked him thereupon to give the petitioner an endorsement to that effect, that subsequently to the order (of the District) made by the another search was made & he found a Pattamani from the District of 22.5.70, prepared in pursuance of the Dewan Sahib's orders, wherein it is said that the Dewan

For Extracts of Case Stated Re 3, 5, 7, 9.

for the grant of the land on full
assessment, it was given to me in 1871-72.
I held it on full assessment tile
1880-81 & gave Rajinama in 1881-82.
I had given out these facts last year.
I do not know why the land was
resumed by Govt. after it had
been attached. I had stated last
year that we got the mortgage of
the land from Ratnajiri Venkatarama Char.
I made this statement through memory (ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ).
While we were enjoying the land
on full assessment some one of
Sreenivasachar's people, whose name
I do not know, came to us complaining (ಇದರಂತೆ ಹೇಳಿದರು)
that the Inam land was resumed
by Govt. & that he had no means
of livelihood then. We took pity on
the poor Brahmin since we had
enjoyed the land for 8 or 10 years &
my brother who was then alive gave
the mortgage deed to him".
The Amr's 2nd report merely embodies
this statement.
4 The extract from the Inam
Registers found in the records state
under the heading "Description of
the Inam" personal, Thaba Vamman or
(ಶಿಷ್ಟ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣ) for life -

M.K.
17.8.96

16

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 185

From The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt.~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 5th July 1894.

Despatched 6th —do—

Received L.R. 32-93-3

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 18
No.

His Official Memorandum No. Pet/2000 dated the 8th June 1894.

Reports on the petition of Seshamma of Melkote in the Krishnarajapatam Taluq.

Submd to Ch. Secy, with reference to order dated 9th June 94
RJ 9/7

Encl. Dept. in full detail as reported in this letter — Draft appr. [illegible] 16/7

Pet. h
7.7.94

Rec'd
9/7.

No. 1 part of Sur No. 238 measuring A. 1-25 R. opposed at 1-1-1 of the Kasaba Sur village standing in the name of Madakasira Krishnaiah, should be kept under attachment; that another statement found there contains the order of the District J. P.S. 7, passed in file orders of the Sub Collector J. 20.3.71, stating that the land has been resumed & fully assessed; that thereafter one Agali Krishnaiah a resident of Sur applied for the said land (Sur No. 238 extent A. 1-25 & assessment 5-4-0) to be given to him in full assessment as the same had been mortgaged to him by one Ramappa Venkatramaiah, though it was attached by Gov't on the ground of the Grantor's absence during the settlement & subsequently resumed & fully assessed; that the Petitioner & his immediate Pattadar show that in the same year he was given the land which he held till 1881-82; that in 1881-82 he relinquished the land; that in 82-83 one Hanumappa, son of Bhari obtained the land on his Pattadar & his name is still borne out in the Pattadar wise to date; & that the name of Ramappa Venkatramaiah & Hanumappa mentioned by

13

(General Serial No. 32.) 740

189 (2)

No. 3544

Name of Petitioner. Seshamma.

Village. Mechoke

Taluk. Seringapatam

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 29. 3. 94

Date of Receipt. 7. 6. 94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

To the Hon'ble
the Commissioner
for report please and
whether there is any
case pending in
the Government
Court

Draft
No. 916 I. R. 82-93-1

ABSTRACT.

States that her father-in-law
owned an Inam land at Lee, that
as her father-in-law did not
appear before the Settlement officer
during the settlement, the land
was ordered to be attached, that
no notice intimating the settlement
was served on the owner, that
presented several petitions
the Taluk and District authorities
but no reply was received
her, that she adds
70 & petitions to

Superintendent who in reply
gave an endorsement to the
effect that her farm in Ca
owned no Sewing Machines on the
authority of the report of the
Tenth Division; that she then
forwarded the Journal to Mrs
Price with their one has replied
that the endorsement formerly issued
to her was quite correct and
hence therefore on that on perusal
of the records it ought be
treated to restore the Card to the

Clk
8/6.

64

year Vikrama (1880-81) and then in the year Vishu (1881-82) relinquished it. In the next year Chitrabhanu (1882-83) it was given to one Timmanna son of Yéllasé as per his application, and is in his holding now.

4. It thus appears that the names of Inamdars given in the petition under report and those appearing in the records do not correspond with each other.

5. When the Inam Superintendent in his order No $\frac{548}{900}$ dated the 8th March 1891 asked the Amildar of the Sira Taluk on the petition made to him by the petitioner Seshamma, the above information was given. Thereupon the Inam Superintendent directed the Amildar in his order No 38 to issue an endorsement to the petitioner to that effect. It was given on the 28th of April 1892.

6 The petition under report is enclosed.

2030

3544
18-6-94

(3)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 140/3544 dated 29th March received at the Dewan's Office from Venkatanna of Belthale Sreerangapatam Talk praying that his father-in-law's Inam lands at Sira may be restored to him

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District for some investigation and report of records and whether there is any case such as Petitioner would make out of it.

L.R. 82-93-2

By Order,

Bangalore, 18th June 1894

Chief Secretary.

M.G.C.P, Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

No 18

Encl: 1

Tanjore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tanjore 5th July 1894

(4)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No Ret 2030 dated the 8th June 1894 forwarding for investigation and report the petition of Séshamma of Ellikote in the Kistna District praying that her father-in-law's inam lands at Sira may be restored to her, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. In her petition the petitioner Séshamma states that as her father in-law was absent at Rameswaram at the time of the inam inquiry his inam land near the Sira Kotaliera tank, was attached without any notice; that when she petitioned the Inam Superintendent he gave an endorsement that there was no inam in the

name

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

618.

Petn. addressed to H.H. the Maharaja
forwd to Land Rev. Dept. for disposal
Sub to the Rev. Secretary with refer
Govt. was issued to Petn. in
ms of the Dy Commrs Report & her
subsequent petitions were filed. vide
order on Petn. No 552.

29/8 Submd to the 2nd Commr
Hon'ble
Mr Lee Mr Hodge
Vide order on Petition
No 552. C
29-8-95

To Dy Comr
Petn. says that her
husband is insane
and has produced
some papers in
support of her statement
that the inam was
her husband's.
She prays the Govt
for land, a further
enquiry is held by
the Dy Comr.

1/9/95

Draft memo
& Encl to Petn
2/9/95

Draft
4-9-95

Genl. Serial. No. 32.]

1895

No. 761

Name of Petitioner. Kalinpiri Seshamma residing at

Village. Kelukote

Taluk. Srirangapatam

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 1-7-95

Date of Receipt. 17-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

L.R. 82-93-4

ABSTRACT.

States that her husband Sellamuthu-
-char was enjoying land below the large
tank at Siru-as Balamanyam-that
during the Inam settlement it was attached
without their knowledge-that on representing
to the Supdt of Inam she was told to represent
to the Dy-Comr who without any enquiry and
without perusing the records granted an endorsement-
that on this she represented to Govt and
submitted two reminder petitions six
months ago-that the matter is still pending
that her husband is insane-and that she
is an helpless woman having large number
of children to support. Prays that on perusal of
the records, demands & enq and on personal
examining her-the Balamanyam land
may be proved to be restored to her-
E.H.

name of her father-in-law, and that on her presenting another petition enclosing the Sinnads and Tickets that were with her another Indorsement to the same effect was given.

3. Enquiry shews that there was an Inam land bearing Survey No 238 measuring a 4 - 25 and assessed at Rupees 1-11-1 in the village of Siva in the name of Krishnamachar of Madakkira and that it was attached as her Inam Commissioner's Order dated the 19th May 1870 and subsequently resumed to Government in accordance with his Order dated the 20th June 1871. There is (in 1871-72) one Agali Krishnappa represented that the Inam had been mortgaged to him by one Venkatramanachar and requested that it might therefore be given him for full assessment. It was accordingly given him. He retained it in his holding up to the

Year

ಯಮಾಡಿ ಪತ್ತರಪತ್ರ ಅನಾಕರಿಕಾರ್ಥ

ಳ್ಳಾಗೂ ನಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸದೆನೇ ಅದನ್ನು ಇಷ್ಟಮಾಡಿ

ದ ನಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇದಾಂತಿಕಮಿಷ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ೧-೪ ಅ

ಜಿಗಳು ಬರದುಕೊಂಡವಕ್ಕೆಯಂ ಇದಾಸುನಂ ಬರು ೩೧ ನೆಯಿಂ

ದಾಸನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂಮಾವಂದಿರಹಸರಿಗೆ ಇದಮಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ.

ತಾಲೂಕುಮಾಮಲೆದಾರರು ಬಗದದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ತುಕುಂಬೊ

ಟರು ಪುನಹ ನಾನ್ಸೂನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಸುದುಗಳನ್ನು ತಾಲೂ

ಕುವಂದಸ್ತೆಸುಮೇಹರದ್ದತಾತಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಅಜಿ

ಬರದ್ದರಮೆಲೆ ೧೮ ನೆಂಬರು ಇಂಬಸುಮದಲು ಕೊಟರು

ಕುಂಸಿಯಾಗಿ ಇದೆಯಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಇಂಬಸುನಂಬರು

13-9 ಇಂದಾಸುಹುಕೊಂಬದುಂ ಇದಾಸನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆ

ಟಮನ್ಯ ಇಲ್ಲಾಯಂಬುದಾಗಿಯೂ ದಾಕಲೆಮೊರಿ

ಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆಮದಲು ಕೊಟರುಕುಂಸಿಯಾಗಿ ಧೆಯಂ

ಬುದಾಗಿ ಹೆಣುಹೆಂಗಸಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯಮಡಿಸಿಗ ೬ವ

ಷದಲಾಗಾತ್ತು ಎಷ್ಟು ಅಜಿ ಬರದುಕೊಂಡಾಸ್ಸೂ

ನಷ್ಟಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ತೋಡದೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯಮಾ

ಡಿರುತಾರೆ ಧರ್ಮಪ್ರಭುಗಳಾದವರನ್ನು ತಾಲೂಕುವಾಲುಗ

ಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆತಂದು ಅನ್ನದಾನಮಾಡಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳುಗಳನ್ನು

ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆಮಾಡಿ ಪುಣ್ಯವನು ತಿರ್ಗಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇ

ಕುಮದಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಬಾಸುತ ಶ್ರೇಷ್ಠ

ಶ್ರೀ

84 ನೇ ಇನ್ಸಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಕಛೇರಿ ಕು- 29 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ

REGISTRAR'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG. NO. 44
DATE 12-6-94

ವಾಣಿವಿಲಾಸ ಜಯರಾಜ ಜನಾಭಿಗೆ

MYSORE PRINCIPAL OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
CAMP
REC'D. NO.
DATE (1)

ಮೇಲು ಕೊಟೆಯಯ್ಯಂಗಾರುವರತ್ತಾಗಿ ಕಂಠೀರ
ವಾಚಾರುಸೂಸಿ ಶೇಷಮ್ಮನವರದು ಕೊಂಡ
ಅರವಾಲಿ ಅರ್ಚಕಮ್ಮಮಾವಂದರುವತ್ತಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ
ನಿವಾಸಚೌರ್ಯಂಗೆ ಶರಾಶಾಲೊಕೆಕಸಬಾ
ದೊಡ್ಡಕೆರೆಕೆಳಗೆ ಪೂರ್ವದಾರಭ್ಯ ಭಟಮಾನ್ಯ
ವಾಗಿತಲೆಪಟುನಡದುಬಂತು ಇದಾಂತಿಶ್ರೀ
ಕಿಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮಮಾವಂದರುಗಳು ರಾಮೇಸ್ವ
ರಯಾತ್ರೆಹೋಗಿದ ಕಾಲದಲಿ ತನಿಕೆಲಂ ಇತ್ತ ನ
ಮ್ಮಗಳುಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಿದೆವು ಮತ್ತೆ ಹೋ
ಟಿಸುಖುಂತಾದು ಕೊಡದೆ ನ್ಯಾಯಜಪ್ತಮಾಡಿಕೊಂ
ಡರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಕುರಿತು ಸಂವಿದಾನಕ್ಕೆ-ಗ-1 ಅರ್ಜಿ
ಗಳು ಬರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡೆ ಸಂವಿದಾನದಿಂದ
ಡಿಕ್ರಿಕಮಿಷರವಂಗೆ ಬೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವತಾಗೆ ನನಗೆ
ಯಿಂತಾರ್ಸು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಆಗಬೇಕು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ 2-1 ಅರ್ಜಿ
ಗಳು ಬರದುಕೊಂಡೆ ತಕ್ಕಲ್ವಂಗೂ ನಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ
ಯನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಜವಾಬು ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ನಾವು ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ
ತಂಗಳು 4-5-ಮಕ್ಕಳುಳವಳುವತ್ತಗಂಡನಿಗೆ
ಚಿತ್ತಸ್ವಾಸ್ಥ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ಈ ಭಟಮಾನ್ಯವಿಂದ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ

(5)

23

ನಂಬರ್ ಇಲಾಖೆ
35.44.
25-7-94

ಶ್ರೀ ಇಲಾಖೆ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು-

ಶ್ರೀ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸೀಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸೀಮೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸುವುದು.

ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸೂಚನೆಯಂತೆ ಸೀಮೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೆತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ 1-11-1 ನೆಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 238 ಎಕರೆ ಸ್ಥಳ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದು 19-5-1870 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಮೇ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೈಗೆತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ 1871-72 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ ಮುಗಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಗಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದು 1881-82 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಮೇ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ.

Ret/684 / 18 Aug-95

29

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(11)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 791

dated 1-7-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ratnagiri Seshamma, residing at Melkote village, Serangapatam Th, praying for the restoration to her, of the Ratnamanyam land, below the large tank at Sira.

L.R. 82-93

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur,

2. The petitioner says that her husband is insane, and has produced some papers in support of her statement, that the Inam was her husband's. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to send for the petitioner, and to dispose of this petition, after a proper inquiry.

By order,

14-9-95

BANGALORE,

14 September 1895

Revenue Secretary.

[illegible] 1882-83 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1894 [illegible]

"By order"

Chief Secretary.

Pet 570 Draft 7-9-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE. (9)

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

26

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 791

dated 1-7-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ratnagiri Seshamma

residing at Melukote village,

Seringapatam Tk, praying

for the restoration to her of the

Bálmanyam land below

the large tank at Sira

L. R. 82-93-5

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dt.

2. The petitioner says that her

husband is insane, and has

produced some papers in sup-

-port of her statement, that the

Inam was her husband's. The

Deputy Commissioner is requested

to send for the petitioner, and to

dispose of this petition after a

proper inquiry ~~in which by him~~

By order,

4-9-95

BANGALORE,

4-9-1895

Revenue Secretary.

4/9

7

C2

Bk 684X draft-93

29

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(11)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 791.

dated 1-7-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ratnagiri Seshamma, residing at Melkote village, Serangapatam Th, praying for the restoration to her, of the ~~Bulga~~ Ratnangaim land, below the large tank at Sira.

L.R. 82-93

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur ot,

2. The petitioner says that her husband is insane and has produced some papers in support of her statement, that the Inam was her husband's. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to send for the petitioner, and to dispose of this petition, after a proper inquiry.

By order,

14-9-95

BANGALORE,

14 September 1895

Ot.

Revenue Secretary.

791995

54

(General Serial No. 31.) Pet 5783/497

1896.

80

From The Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharani Regent Mysore
Bangalore

To The Revenue Secretary to the Govt of Mysore in the Land Rev. Dept.
Bangalore

Dated 30th January } 96
Despatched do

Received

Enclosures Number. 1

General No. / No. 150 L.R 82-93-8

Referring to this office Petn no 90 of 13th August 95 transmitted with this office docket no 39 dated 14th August 1895 —

Has the honor to transfer for disposal another petition no 533 dated 25th Jany 96 to H.H. the Maharani C.I. Regent of Mysore —

By order.

Macnaghten Col:

Private Secretary

Petn
H 31

Jail Press, Bangalore.—19-2-95.—5,002.

Pet 1617 Encl one Draft

20-03-96
6-3-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(13)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition

Registered No. 2769 dated 22-1-96

received at the Dewan's Office from

Ratnagiri Seshamma wife of Ratnagiri Tirumanachar, residing at Melkote, Seringapatam Sh, praying for restoration to her of the Batmanyam land below the large tank at Sira.

L.R. 82-93-10

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for early disposal, in continuation of this office No. Pet 684 14-9-95

By order,

Draft

3/3

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

3-3-1896

3-3-96

M.G.C.P.B.—25-1-95—50

791 895

Memo 24/6 84 dt 14/8/95

24/
13/2

15/2

Reject petition.

24/2

81

Subd.

The previous petn was sent to Deputy Commr for disposal. The petr complains that no order has yet been passed by him.

May this be sent to Deputy Commr in continuation.

A.C. Junior Asst 16-9-95

A.C. Junior Asst 2/3/96

25/2/96

2/3/96

2/3/96

Draft according

A.C. 2/3/96

3-3-96

3-3-96

684

1896

(12)

No. 2769

Name of Petitioner, Rattanji Lakhamsi

Village, Mathadi

Taluk, Lakhpatbandar

District, Kaira

Date of Arzi, 22-1-96

Date of Receipt, 4-2-96

Date of Disposal, R 82-93-9

No. of Enclosure, nil

ABSTRACT.

States that he has submitted his accounts for the consideration of the Karbhari - but the petitioner objected to the Mamlatdar's decision. Prays that the Commr may direct the Mamlatdar to submit his accounts for the Bhatiana gam at the Lakhpat taluk and, given to the petr for the completion of investigation in the matter, the results of which has not been communicated to the petitioner.

Prays that the former order of Collector may be cancelled or that there may be given the assistance to the Mamlatdar for a speedy and fair decision. He also

Pet/ Encl Draft note for approval
3-7-96

85

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

15

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The ~~accompanying Petition~~ Memorial

Registered No. 4028 dated 6th June 1896,

~~received at the Dewan's Office from~~
addressed Her Highness
the Maharani Regent
by Sethamma wife of
Ratnagiri Ierunarna-
char Melkote Seing apalam
Taluk, ~~complaining~~ praying the
~~of delay in the disposal~~
~~of her petitions regarding~~ making
the restoration of her Khata
manyam lands below Dod-
Kere Tank, Sira Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner
of the Tumkur District,
early for disposal, or report as to
what causes delay in dis-
posal.

L. R. 82-93-13

in continuation of this office Nos. Pet. 684 of 14/9/95 & Pet. 1617 of 3/3/96,

By order,

1-7-96

Draft
A.S.
1/7

BANGALORE,

Chief Secretary.

1-7- 1896

Nun
1/7/96

M.G.C.P.B.—26-4-95—500

(General Serial No. 31.)

4028

33

1896.

Cl. 9324
Pet 806
Jul. 96
REVENUE DEPARTMENT OFFICE

From The Secretary to H.H. the Maharani Regent Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore in the Land Revenue Department Bangalore.

Dated 9th June

Despatched 10th 96.

Received

Enclosures Number. One.

General No. 214
No.

L.R. 82-93-11

Referring to the marginally noted docket from this office

Has the honor to transmit for disposal another petition No. 842 dated 7th June 96 to His Highness the Maharani C.N. Regent of Mysore —

By order

[illegible] Lt.

Private Secretary

Dl. nos.
39 dated 4th Aug 95
159 dated 8th Jan 96.

Reply & put up reference
15/6

Rd 13/6

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—19-2-95.—5,002.

1617

1896 (14)

No. 4128

Name of Petitioner, Cheekanna wife of Lingaiah Hunasikatta

Village, Kelkote

Taluk, Seringapatam

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 6.6.96

Date of Receipt, 15.6.96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil.

L.R. 82-93-12

ABSTRACT.

Petition praying for the release of her husband who is in jail in connection with a case in which he is an accused.

Note that the petitioner complains of the ill-treatment of her husband by the Police.

C.J.K.
17.6.96

2769 795-96
Summons 2/4 16/7 7/8/96
731 795 Summons 2/4 584 7/4/96
Warrant 2/4 670 20/4/96

V/Ro
20/6.

Recd.
23/6.

Papers are parts
24/6.

~~Urgent notice should be issued~~

Submit
May this be sent to the Dy. Commr. in continuation, for very early disposal and intimation of the result to the petr.

24/6/96

This is again a memorial to the Maharani-Regent. The previous memorials have been sent to this office. On it was forwarded with instructions again to the Dy. Commr. for disposal. The Mun. Commr. has stated that the Dy. Commr. conducted the investigation in the matter ~~3 months ago~~ and the report on it was forwarded in the Dy. Commr. for early disposal.

Submit:
A.S.
26/6/96

Send this to Dy. Commr. for early disposal or for reports as to what causes delay in the disposal.

26/6/96

Noted
Sum. 1/7.

Petitioner informed
A.S. 3/6

189

From The Deputy Commissioner Trichinopoly District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras, Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 24th } June 1897
Despatched 25th }

Received

General No. 1066.
No.

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 2257 G.7.7-96 dated the 28th August 1896

Furnishing further information regarding the Claim of Sesharama Iyer to certain Inam land below the Sira tank

L.R. 82-93-16

Petition M
26/6/97

28/6/97

(12)

8700
Pet 745

REVENUE REPORT
GL. No.
29 JUN. 97
DEWANGEE

Put up
AK
29.6.97

Submitted
29/6/97

Forward
M 12/7/97

Agree that petr's father-in-law was absent on a pilgrimage to Rameswaram during the Inam settlement in the Sira taluk is noticed in the Dy Comr's report of 5/7/94 (Serial No 4 in the open file). Petr has not furnished any information as to when her husband became insane. Dy Comr is accordingly unable to report on that point.

The petr's mere allegation that her father-in-law was absent does not explain why she did not arrange for his case to be dealt with by an agent, as required by the Rules under which lands were liable to be fully assessed if the default continued more than one year. Lands were resumed in 1871 & first appealed against it in 1894

Seek the 2nd Counsellor's order on Dy Comr's report (with reference to Serial No 16)

M 3/7/97

Petr does not want to be heard in the case at this stage

Forward
M 12/7/97

Agree
M 14/7/97

Seek the 2nd Counsellor's order on the Dy Comr's report

11.7.97

(16)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 618
24 JUL. 96
REVENUE OFFICE

Gen. 31

189

36

From The Deputy Commissioner
Sindhu Sagar

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, General Rev.
Department

Dated 20th July 896

Despatched 22nd

Received

General No. 37
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Register file

Rico offr. memo No: 4, (Fee) dt. 6th July 896.

Reporting on the petition of Lakshmma of Malkote, regarding an Inam land under the Lira tank.

L.R. 82-93-14

Petitioner dept
24.7-96

Submitted with reference

Submitted with reference to the 2nd Commissioner's order on the (Revenue-Ho.) abstract of petn No. 791 dated 1-7-95, and to the Secretary's order on Petn No. 4028

28/7

Put to the Secretary with a precis.

Put file prepared with proper index

28/7

Attested

28/7

Sulia Bad (?)
18-8-96

Memo. Consider not lower the question of the Petn's husband's insanity. Since when is he suffering like that. What the Petr says about her father-law's default at the Inam settlement. Can the officials explain the cause of delay or default. A report on this points is wanted

[illegible]

Draft order 20/8/96

[illegible] 24.8.96 Draft 26.8.96

Returned with Temp No. 706 P.7.7-96 & 17.7.97

No: 72

Inwards file

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur 20th July 1896.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No 4 (Sat) dated the 1st Instant, forwarding a petition* from Lechamma of Chikkoti regarding an Inam land under the Sira Tank, I have the honor to invite reference to my predecessor's Letter No: 8 dated* 5th July 1894 to the Chief Secretary to Government and to the endorsement given to Petitioner Lechamma from the Dewan's office No: 8574 dated* 23d July 1894 disposing of her claim to the Inam land which was resumed by the Inam Department so far back as in the year 1871.

2. Petitioner Lechamma

* herewith returned.

(4)

(5)

appeared before me in October last and produced all the 38 documents in her possession relating to the Inam land in question. From these documents and from the entries in the list of Inams prepared in the Taluk Cutchery previous to the Inam settlement, it seems to me that the Inam land in question was originally granted to Mattada Krishnachar or Venkatakrishnachar who was the great-great grandfather of the Petitioner's husband as shown in the margin and that the original granted name alone has continued to be entered in the records. There is thus no confusion of names. The land was resumed in 871 probably for absence of the Inamdar at the time of the Inam settlement and was taken up afterwards on full assessment first by Agali on

[x] Vide Extract hereunto submitted.

Mattada or Venkata Krishnachar
Son – Name not known
Son – Ananta Char
Son – Srinivasa Char
Son – Cantiwa Char or (Husband of Petitioner Seshamma)

Krishnappa and after he relinquish-
-ed it, by Timmana in whose's
occupancy it is at present.

The Kanarese record as per
Scrutiny relating to the Petitioner's claim
is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Narsimma Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Sunkur District

S.V.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT 4028
REG'D. No. 4028 C
DATE 16-6-96.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಬಿ[illegible]
ಮಹಾರಾಣಿಯವರ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೬ ನೇ ಜೂನಿ ೧೮೯೬ ರಂದು ಮೈ
ಲಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ
ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಹಾರಾಣಿಯವರ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಮಾಡಿ
ಕೊಂಡು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾ
ನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ
ಅಂಶಗಳು ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲದಿಂದ
ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿ
ರುವ ಮಾನ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಕಿತ್ತುಹಾಕಿ ಅವರ
ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆಯವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡ
ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಲೋಚನೆ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ
ಯವರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು
ಕೊಂಡು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಮಹಾರಾಣಿ
ಯವರ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಿದರು ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಹಾರಾಣಿಯವರು
ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ
ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರು ಆ ಕಾಗದ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ
ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೆಲವು ಆಪಾದನೆ
ಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳಿ
ದ್ದರು ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ
ಯವರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು
ಕೊಂಡು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಮಹಾರಾಣಿ
ಯವರ ಮಹಾ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಿದರು ಆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಅಂಶ
ಗಳು ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ
ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ಸಾಲಿ
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರು
ವ ಮಾನ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಕಿತ್ತುಹಾಕಿ ಅವರ
ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆಯವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡ
ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಲೋಚನೆ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು

ನನ್ನ ಶೀಲವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಾಗಿ ಮೂ
ಬ್ರಾಯುಕು ತಿರುಪಿ ರ್ನ್ನ ದ್ವಾಪಿದೆ ಅವರು
ನನ್ನ ಯಾಮಾಂಡಿ ನನ್ನ ಶೀಲವನು ಕೆಡಿಯನ್ನ
ಯಾಂಡಿ ಧಾರಿತಾಂಬುದ ಪ್ರಭುಗಳಾದ
ಪ್ರಭುದ ಮೀಲಾ ವಯಿಟಿ ಬಂ ನನ್ನ ಪಿಮಾ
ನ್ನೆ ಅನ್ನಾದ ರೂಂ ನನಗೆ ಕೊಂಡಿಪಿಕೊಂಡೆಂ
ಬಂಗೆ ಮಕುಂ ಅಪ್ಪಗೆ ಲೆಮೊಡಿಪಿಯೆಲಿಕು

ಅನ್ನಿಟಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಬಂ ನಾನು ನಿಮಿ
ನಿಲ್ಲ ಮೊಗಮು ಕ್ಕೆ ಲೆ ನನ್ನಗಂಡನಿಗೆಡಿದ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ಕಾಟಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಟಮೀಲಿ ಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕಿಂತ
ಬಾಳಿ ಯಾಂ ಅಮೀಲಿ ಶೀಲವನ ಮೀಲಿಕು
ಕಾಲಿಕೆಯು ಅನ್ನಿ ತಾ ಮೀ ಮೀಲಿಸಿನಮೀ
ಕು ಮುಜಾಂಯಿ ಮೂ ಪ್ರೇಂಪಿರು ನನಗೆ ಲ
ನ್ನೆ ಯಮಾಂಡಿದ ರು ಮ್ಮಾಲು ಶೀಲಿಯಂ
ನು ನನಗೆ ಶೀಲವನ ಕುಡಿಯನ್ನ ಯಾಂಡಿದ್ದ
ತಾಂಬು ನನ್ನ ಮೀಲಾ ವಯಿಟಿ ಬಂ ಅಯ್ಯಾ ಬಂ
ನ್ನ ಅನ್ನಾದ ರೂಂ ಕೊಂಡಿಪಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೀಲಿಕೊಂಬ್ರಾ
ಪಿ ಮಾರ್ಲೆನೆ = ಮೀಲ್ಲ ಮ್ಮ =

Draft for approval

(17) 4

To

The Deputy Commr.
Nellore Distt.

No. 2251 / P.F. 7-96 No. 2251 / Pet-347 dated 27th / 28th Aug. 1896

His letter No. 72 dated 20th July 1896 reporting on the petition of Seshamma of Mekkala regarding her claim to a certain Inam land below the Sira tank resumed by the Inam Deptt.

With reference to the above has the honour to request ~~to observe that the Deptt. Commr. does not touch the question of insanity of the petitioner's husband and to request~~ that the Deputy Commr. will be so good as to report (1) since when petitioner's husband is suffering from insanity (2) what the petitioner says about her father in law's default at the Inam Settlement and (3) whether she can sufficiently explain the cause of delay or default.

L.R. 82-97-15

By order

Draft C.C.
28/8
for Secretary

WCL 26/8/96

28/8.

2.

On the basis of the notice which was served upon her, Lechamma has made a remark to the effect that her father-in-law was on pilgrimage to Ramiswaram when the Inam settlement of the Sira Taluk took place.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Venkata Rao
Deputy Commissioner

E.V.

2.

On the back of the notice which was served upon her, Seshamma made a remark to the effect that her father-in-law was on pilgrimage to Ramiswaram when the Inam settlement of the Sira Taluk took place.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

S.H.

(19)

17-7-97

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]